

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and ademark Office

Address: COMINISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT FILING DATE APPLICATION NUMBER ANGADTIVAND ET AL 4936 OUSATE SEPT. 2, 1997 09/111,833 EXAMINER RICHARD CHIESA PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1724 હ્યાં DATE MAILED: INTERVIEW SUMMARY All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): CHIESA RICHARD HANSON **2003** Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant Papplicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes You If yes, brief description: Agreement was reached. was not reached. CLAIMS REFERENCES PRIOR ART Identification of prior art discussed: Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: IMPROVED PROPERTIES RECITING INDICATED ATTORNEY DIFFERENCES DEMONSTRATE PRODUCT APPLICANTS AND PRODUCT (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

> Richard L. Chiesa nov. 24, 2003

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.